



AGENDA NO: I

MEETING DATE: November 16, 2022

**AGENDA CORRESPONDENCE
RECEIVED BY THE PUBLIC WORKS ADVISORY BOARD
FOR PUBLIC REVIEW PRIOR TO THE MEETING**

From: [Greg Kwolek](#)
To: [Janeen Burlingame](#)
Subject: Fwd: agenda item I
Date: Wednesday, November 16, 2022 1:42:06 PM

Begin forwarded message:

From: betty winholtz <winholtz@sbcglobal.net>
Subject: agenda item I
Date: November 15, 2022 at 2:42:06 PM PST
To: Douglas Rogers <drogers@morrobayca.gov>, "lbeale@morrobayca.gov" <lbeale@morrobayca.gov>, "jerwin@morrobayca.gov" <jerwin@morrobayca.gov>, "igaffney@morrobayca.gov" <igaffney@morrobayca.gov>, "rnav@morrobayca.gov" <rnav@morrobayca.gov>, "jgoldman@morrobayca.gov" <jgoldman@morrobayca.gov>, Joe Ingrassia <jingraffia@morrobayca.gov>
Cc: Greg Kwolek <gkwolek@morrobayca.gov>

CAUTION: This is an external email. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments.

Dear Board Members:

The question that always comes to mind is: Why are the residents paying for errors made by the construction companies?

In particular, how is it that #35, #45, and #53 (wouldn't the city engineer have known this latter one?) were not known ahead of time; was there not a survey done prior to commencement? #36 I understand because the City knew it was placing pipes too close together per Dept. of Drinking Water standards. What is the role of the city engineer in these unknowns? Does Anvil have an engineer that works with them?

Same with Black and Veatch; why am I as a resident expected to pay for what went wrong when they started up the sewer plant for the first time? The plant has not been turned over to the City yet; it should be their pocketbook that makes it right until the City takes ownership. I don't understand #103 at all. Why is there a vehicle wash bay at the sewer plant (#106)? Shouldn't that be a task that is performed at the City Yard?

Is the paragraph concerning the injection wells implying that the City is using our good State water to inject into the well? How

many gallons or acre feet is this? How much good water was lost draining the Kings tanks, and the Blanca tanks while residents are on water restriction level 3?

I hope you are seek answers.

Sincerely,
Betty Wnholtz



AGENDA NO: II

MEETING DATE: November 16, 2022

**AGENDA CORRESPONDENCE
RECEIVED BY THE PUBLIC WORKS ADVISORY BOARD
FOR PUBLIC REVIEW PRIOR TO THE MEETING**

From: [Greg Kwolek](#)
To: [Janeen Burlingame](#)
Subject: Fwd: agenda item II
Date: Wednesday, November 16, 2022 1:42:32 PM

Begin forwarded message:

From: betty winholtz <winholtz@sbcglobal.net>
Subject: agenda item II
Date: November 15, 2022 at 12:15:57 PM PST
To: Doug Rogers <drogers@morrobayca.gov>, Laurie Beale <lbeale@morrobayca.gov>, John Erwin <jerwin@morrobayca.gov>, Ian Gaffney <igaffney@morrobayca.gov>, Robert Nava <rnava@morrobayca.gov>, "jgoldman@morrobay.gov" <jgoldman@morrobay.gov>, Joseph Ingraffia <jingraffia@morrobayca.gov>
Cc: Erin DeAngelis <edeangelis@morrobayca.gov>, Greg Kwolek <gkwolek@morrobayca.gov>

CAUTION: This is an external email. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments.

Dear Board Members:

This project has the same level of serious consequences to the residents of Morro Bay as did the WRF or new sewer plant. It actually begs the question if this is why the capacity of the WRF was overbuilt.

It appears there is no harm in agreeing to participate, Phase 1. In this phase is the agreement to be evaluated; evaluated for what? To be a consumer or provider? What is the detail of evaluation?

Obviously, it will fall to the coastal communities to be the providers due to location. Will there be competition among Morro Bay, 5 Cities, Cambria, and San Simeon for one location; or, will there be several small plants for convenience? Due to present costs, probably the former.

It seems Morro Bay has set itself up for the advantage, but what are the costs to the community? Are we cooperating when we should be competing?

Other concerns I have are:

1. I don't agree with this staff report statement: "The City has an important role in ensuring adequate water supply and acknowledges the need to develop new, reliable sources to accommodate climate change and provide a reliable, resilient water supply for the region." Morro Bay should feel obligated to take of its own residents. (page 65 of 79)
2. I don't agree with this staff report statement: "The recycled water or Indirect Potable Reuse (IPR) components of the Water Reclamation Facility (WRF) Program will play an integral role in providing the City a local, resilient source of water in the near term." Near term is now far term. It is being used to prevent salt water intrusion. (page 65 of 79)
3. Morro Bay has one of the lowest per capita consumption rates in the County. Other agencies in the County have made strides. Will this be a requirement for participating or receiving desal water? (4th Whereas)
4. Not all County water-purveyors are public like Morro Bay. As a public entity, the City cannot charge more that what it costs to produce water. Private purveyors can charge for profit. How will Morro Bay keep its independence, thereby guaranteeing its residents the lowest price? (8th Whereas) Right now State water is the least expensive route; do we want to change that?
5. The County is taking the lead, for now. At some point will they sell to a private developer? Will we have to "go along" with the County group and be subject to higher rates? (9th Whereas)
6. Financing and Governance are not decided until Phases 4 and 5. Governance should be first not last. Financing should be second. (page 69 of 79)
7. What is the physical relationship between the WRF and the City's current brackish trains? What would the physical relationship be between the WRF and a regional desal?

Please raise serious questions. Please do not go along unless there is significant community benefit.

Sincerely,
Betty Winholtz



AGENDA NO: III

MEETING DATE: November 16, 2022

**AGENDA CORRESPONDENCE
RECEIVED BY THE PUBLIC WORKS ADVISORY BOARD
FOR PUBLIC REVIEW PRIOR TO THE MEETING**

From: [Greg Kwolek](#)
To: [Janeen Burlingame](#)
Subject: Fwd: agenda item III
Date: Wednesday, November 16, 2022 1:42:44 PM

Begin forwarded message:

From: betty winholtz <winholtz@sbcglobal.net>
Subject: agenda item III
Date: November 15, 2022 at 10:20:03 AM PST
To: Douglas Rogers <drogers@morrobayca.gov>, "lbeale@morrobayca.gov" <lbeale@morrobayca.gov>, "jerwin@morrobayca.gov" <jerwin@morrobayca.gov>, "igaffney@morrobayca.gov" <igaffney@morrobayca.gov>, "rnav@morrobayca.gov" <rnav@morrobayca.gov>, "jgoldman@morrobayca.gov" <jgoldman@morrobayca.gov>, Joe Ingraffia <jingraffia@morrobayca.gov>
Cc: Greg Kwolek <gkwolek@morrobayca.gov>

CAUTION: This is an external email. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments.

Dear Board Members:

This proposal is a welcome step.

An example where this PWAB review may come into play is when the residents around the corner of Main and Pacific through petition asked for a 4-way stop due to consistent near-miss accidents. The request went to city council where it was denied based on the city engineer's recommendation.

1. I am wondering if staff can offer an example of each of the four pathways.
2. I am wondering if staff can identify criteria by which it will evaluate a request.
3. I am wondering why staff would need to consult a traffic engineer; is this a specialty that our city engineer does not have?

Sincerely,
Betty Winholtz