



AGENDA NO: A-5

MEETING DATE: June 27, 2017

**THE FOLLOWING PUBLIC CORRESPONDENCE
WAS RECEIVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL
FOLLOWING POSTING OF THE AGENDA**

Dana Swanson

From: Jeffery Heller
Sent: Thursday, June 22, 2017 6:52 PM
To: Council
Cc: CFAC; GPAC; PlanningCommission; betty winholtz; 'Ron Reisner'; 'Homer Alexander'; Joseph W. Pannone
Subject: June 27th Council Meeting-Consent Item A-5-"Approved 17/18 Advisory Board Work Plans"s

Council and Advisory Board Committees

I am concerned that the Council/City Staff has included a number of important issues that don't seem to fit under the title of Item A-5.

Specifically-does the CFAC support the 8 year timeline for "achieving economic and fiscal sustainability" (City Goal #1)? Does the CFAC support not identifying a minimum of \$400K in cost reductions until FY19/20? Does the CFAC believe increasing TOT's by 3% is realistic?

I would like to know the CFAC's position on these issues---and I would like to know why this information is listed under Item A-5?

Under City Goal #3: "Improve Infrastructure and Public Spaces" the following items are mentioned: the "market plaza" project, the "boatyard haul out" project, and "future use of the existing WTP site" on Atascadero Road. I don't think any of these items should be listed under Goal #3. These are development goals being driven by the Council and Staff---and are not directly related to the "improvement of infrastructure and public spaces".

Listing these issues under Item A-5-"Approved Advisory Board Work Plans" makes no sense to me. Am I missing something here?

Dana Swanson---please include this email for the record in email correspondence for this meeting's Agenda Item A-5.

Thank you

Jeff Heller



AGENDA NO: C-2

MEETING DATE: June 27, 2017

**THE FOLLOWING PUBLIC CORRESPONDENCE
WAS RECEIVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL
FOLLOWING POSTING OF THE AGENDA**

Dana Swanson

From: Jeffery Heller
Sent: Thursday, June 22, 2017 7:18 PM
To: Council; WRFCAC
Cc: 'Ron Reisner'; 'Homer Alexander'; betty winholtz; Meredith Bates; Joseph W. Pannone
Subject: June 27th 2017 Council Meeting - Business Item C-2-WRF Program Update

Council

When will the general public receive the results of the "peer review process" discussed in this item?

It certainly appears like the council wants to rush through whatever the results are---and get to a 218 vote ASAP.

BTW---when will the City be issuing a "WRF project cost report" for FY 15/16? What about quarterly updates through QTR2-16/17? I believe the City has a fiduciary responsibility to regularly report all encumbrances and expenses for this multi-million dollar project. If this information exists---where can I find it? I am not referring to reports from consultants--which is all I have been able to find so far.

Thank you

Jeff Heller