



AGENDA NO: A-5

MEETING DATE: July 9, 2019

**AGENDA CORRESPONDENCE
RECEIVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL FOR
PUBLIC REVIEW PRIOR TO THE MEETING**

Dana Swanson

From: betty winholtz [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, July 08, 2019 11:11 AM
To: John Headding; Robert Davis; Marlys McPherson; Jeffrey Heller; Dawn Addis
Cc: Dana Swanson; Scott Collins
Subject: agenda item a-5

Dear City Council:

The intent of this Resolution to to broaden the scope of the City's stance to include land along with water, and state along with federal.

With this intent in mind, on the last page of the Resolution under "Therefore", should the 2 words "and state" after the added blue word "federal" be added?

Sincerely,
Betty Winholtz



AGENDA NO: C-1

MEETING DATE: July 9, 2019

**AGENDA CORRESPONDENCE
RECEIVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL FOR
PUBLIC REVIEW PRIOR TO THE MEETING**

Dana Swanson

From: Lynda Merrill [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, July 04, 2019 3:28 PM
To: Council
Subject: Fee Schedule Report: Eliminate this banner placement

Dear Mayor and Council,

I oppose banners on public property.

Cost recovery is a joke! Our workmen could use their time to keep our City functioning.

S-204 Banner Placement \$2,140 \$453 \$1,687 472.4% 100% (\$1,700) S-205 Special Event/Festival Permit

Sincerely, Lynda Merrill

Dana Swanson

From: Lynda Merrill [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, July 04, 2019 2:38 PM
To: Council
Subject: too cheap

Dear Mayor and Councilmembers:

This banner placement and the use of our public property for advertising non resident for profit is way to cheap. I recommend increasing it by 10 times!

We do not need this clutter in our parks and open spaces.

REF #: S-204 TITLE: Banner Placement CURRENT FEE RECOMMENDED FEE \$125 per week - Resident/Non-Profit \$175 per week - Non-Resident/For-Profit

Lynda Merrill
[REDACTED]

Dana Swanson

From: Lynda Merrill [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, July 04, 2019 3:05 PM
To: Council
Subject: Fees: Citizens need to afford to appeal Planning decisions , please do not change.

If costs are too high citizens will not be able to appeal. Do not price people out of the process.

Appeal of City Decision S-050 Planning Appeal Reviewing appeal of City decision by Planning Commission or City Council. Excludes Coastal Permits in the appeal jurisdiction. \$250 per appeal, refundable if applicant prevails \$875 per appeal, refundable if applicant prevails Estimated cost of appeal is \$3,495, with standing Council policy of 25% cost recovery 25% \$250.00 \$3,497.00 \$(3,247.00) 2 CITY OF MORRO BAY FY 2018-19 REVENUE AND COST SUMMARY WORKSHEET SERVICE REFERENCE NO. PRIMARY DEPARTMENT UNIT OF SERVICE SERV

Sincerely, Lynda Merrill

Dana Swanson

From: Lynda Merrill [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, July 04, 2019 2:44 PM
To: Council
Subject: appeals need to be low so citizens can afford to appeal decisions

Dear Mayor and Council members:

Don't increase fees for appeals,

REF #: S-211 TITLE: Appeal - Non Land Use Admin CURRENT FEE RECOMMENDED FEE \$875 per appeal, refundable if applicant prevails Estimated cost of appeal is \$3,495, with standing Council policy of 25% cost recovery. See Planning - Appeal of City Decision

Sincerely , Lynda Merrill



AGENDA NO: C-3

MEETING DATE: July 9, 2019

**AGENDA CORRESPONDENCE
RECEIVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL FOR
PUBLIC REVIEW PRIOR TO THE MEETING**

Dana Swanson

From: betty winholtz [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, July 08, 2019 11:12 AM
To: John Headding; Robert Davis; Marlys McPherson; Jeffrey Heller; Dawn Addis
Cc: Dana Swanson; Scott Collins
Subject: agenda item c-3

Dear City Council:

It is difficult to comment on the following aspect of this item since the data for it won't be known until August--

"As a City-provided service, Community Resource Connections was included within the proposed annual operating budget as with other City provided services. Use of the Vet's Hall to serve community meals would be more appropriately addressed in a City Partnership/Community Events policy and agreement which will come forward to Council in conjunction with the new fee schedule adoption (currently projected for the August 27, 2019 Council meeting)."

I assume when it comes forward in August, the Staff Report on this item will include how the funding for the upgrade of the Vets Hall fits into the equation.

On a broader scale, I don't understand how the budget includes discretionary money for nonprofits, when the City is experiencing a financial crisis: can't cover its own bills but will give money to other entities.

Sincerely,
Betty Winholtz



AGENDA NO: C-4

MEETING DATE: July 9, 2019

**AGENDA CORRESPONDENCE
RECEIVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL FOR
PUBLIC REVIEW PRIOR TO THE MEETING**

Dana Swanson

From: betty winholtz [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, July 08, 2019 2:23 PM
To: Dana Swanson
Cc: Scott Collins
Subject: Fw: agenda item c-4

Dana,
I failed to copy you on this one for posting to Correspondence.
Betty

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: betty winholtz [REDACTED]
To: John Headding <jheadding@morrobayca.gov>; Robert Davis <rdavis@morrobayca.gov>; Marlys McPherson <mmcpherson@morrobayca.gov>; Jeffery Heller <jheller@morrobayca.gov>; Dawn Addis <daddis@morrobayca.gov>
Sent: Monday, July 8, 2019, 11:50:38 AM PDT
Subject: agenda item c-4

Dear City Council:

To including the term "accessibility" is a misnomer: what is being proposed is the narrowing of accessibility, behind a literal wall of fear.

I resent this item on 2 level.

1. If you can believe Google, there are 35,000 cities recognized by the US Geological Survey. While 1 shooting is 1 too many, one incident out of 35,000 is no reason to institutionalize fear into Morro Bay City buildings. Unless employees are being fired or leaving with resentment, do not take on the fear of another place and bring it here. I do not know of other cities in the county or the county itself taking such measures.

2. 50% of what goes on in City Hall is not related to the Sewer and Water Enterprise Funds. If you do this, the money should come out of the General Fund. Do not misappropriate money from my water/sewer fees to pay for your fear. Pay as you go: if you don't have money in the General Fund, wait until you do. When staff returns with a request to do the Public Works Building, you will be asked again to take money out of my sewer/water fees because they do deal with the Enterprise Funds.

This move erodes are small, friendly town atmosphere. It promotes something we are not. Where does such thinking end?

Sincerely,
Betty Winholtz