



**AGENDA NO: A-3**

**MEETING DATE: August 11, 2020**

**AGENDA CORRESPONDENCE RECEIVED  
BY THE CITY COUNCIL FOLLOWING  
POSTING OF THE AGENDA IS ATTACHED  
FOR PUBLIC REVIEW PRIOR TO THE  
MEETING**

**Dana Swanson**

---

**From:** betty winholtz [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, August 10, 2020 10:32 PM  
**To:** John Headding; Robert Davis; Marlys McPherson; Jeffrey Heller; Dawn Addis  
**Cc:** Dana Swanson  
**Subject:** agenda item a-3

Dear City Council:

Please explain why there is still a "Deputy City Manager" position? Why has this position not been eliminated? We are a town of 10,000 people on a small budget.

Sincerely,  
Betty Winholtz



**AGENDA NO: A-5**

**MEETING DATE: August 11, 2020**

**AGENDA CORRESPONDENCE RECEIVED  
BY THE CITY COUNCIL FOLLOWING  
POSTING OF THE AGENDA IS ATTACHED  
FOR PUBLIC REVIEW PRIOR TO THE  
MEETING**

**Dana Swanson**

---

**From:** betty winholtz [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, August 10, 2020 10:42 PM  
**To:** John Heading; Robert Davis; Marlys McPherson; Jeffrey Heller; Dawn Addis  
**Cc:** Dana Swanson; Jennifer Callaway  
**Subject:** agenda item a-5

Dear City Council:

Is the "significant cash in the City's LAIF account" from the Water Fund, the Sewer Fund, or the General Fund money? What amount of money is the Report talking about?

Sincerely,  
Betty Winholtz



**AGENDA NO: A-7**

**MEETING DATE: August 11, 2020**

**AGENDA CORRESPONDENCE RECEIVED  
BY THE CITY COUNCIL FOLLOWING  
POSTING OF THE AGENDA IS ATTACHED  
FOR PUBLIC REVIEW PRIOR TO THE  
MEETING**

## Dana Swanson

---

**From:** betty winholtz [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, August 10, 2020 11:00 PM  
**To:** John Heading; Robert Davis; Marlys McPherson; Jeffrey Heller; Dawn Addis  
**Cc:** Dana Swanson; Jennifer Callaway  
**Subject:** agenda item a-7

Dear City Council:

Pleas have Chief Knuckles explain why the Fire Fighters have not joined the other departments in taking temporary salary concessions until the end of the year. Has the Chief also not taken the salary concession that the other Department Directors have?

Would the Council explain why the salary concessions are not permanent? Please explain how the step increases are not contrary to the intent for salary concessions. That is, the Council says it is tightening its belt, yet it is still giving step increases.

Again, why does the Deputy City Manager position still exist?

Sincerely,  
Betty Winholtz



**AGENDA NO: C-1**

**MEETING DATE: August 11, 2020**

**AGENDA CORRESPONDENCE RECEIVED  
BY THE CITY COUNCIL FOLLOWING  
POSTING OF THE AGENDA IS ATTACHED  
FOR PUBLIC REVIEW PRIOR TO THE  
MEETING**

## Dana Swanson

---

**From:** betty winholtz [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 11, 2020 1:47 AM  
**To:** John Heading; Robert Davis; Marlys McPherson; Jeffrey Heller; Dawn Addis  
**Cc:** Dana Swanson; Rob Livick; Scott Collins  
**Subject:** agenda c-1

Dear City Council:

The following questions and observations arise from this item.

1. **CFAC'S ROLE.** In both the Subject line--Citizen's Finance Advisory Committee (CFAC) Role in Water Reclamation Facility (WRF) Review with Additional Responsibilities-- and the Recommendation--discuss CFAC role in WRF Report review and additional responsibilities-- there is the anticipation of a discussion about CFAC and its involvement in review of the WRF. Yet, there is no discussion any where in the Report. Has there been a change of heart?

2. **LANDSLIDE.** In the Corollo Report, Section 1.1.1.2, it says that a notification was sent to the CDFW for a Lake or Streambed Alteration Agreement/Permit in late March 2020. However, the notification to CDFW is dated, February 27, 2020. A report that Eric Casares sent to the Central Coast District Office of the California Coastal Commission titled, "Other Authorizations SPECIAL CONDITION NO. 13" says, "***The City will begin construction at the WRF site before securing a permit***" [meaning the CDFW LSA Agreement/Permit]. Kevin Kahn at the Central Coast District Office of the California Coastal Commission accepted this report on March 10, 2020; therefore, the dates in the current staff report are inaccurate. As the Report accurately states the permit was ultimately issued on July 14, 2020. Eric Casares for Corollo took this risk to begin construction before securing the permit and should be held accountable for having done that. He signed a contract with the City of Morro Bay to hold the city harmless as stipulated in the indemnification clause. The City Council should direct Mr. Neumeyer, the city attorney, that the additional financial burden due to the landslide should not be a burden to the ratepayers as it is not our fault and neither is the cost associated with it..

3. **LANGUAGE.** I am curious as to why Mr. Casares uses PCO (Potential Change Orders) rather than the common CCO (Contract Change Orders).

4. **INJECTION WELLS.** Section 1.1.3.1 states, "reducing the amount of water initially injected and extracted to maximize groundwater travel times and make initial permitting of the Project with the Division of Drinking Water (DDW) easier." And again in Section 8.3.5, it states the importance of "varying the volume of purified water injected and later extracted [because]....Groundwater retention time is critical for permitting with DDW." Yet, the next sentence states the City will still be able to inject 825 acre feet per year. If a slower time is demanded for a permit, after the permit is acquired, I don't want water injected quicker if I'm expected to drink it.

5. **CONVEYANCE PIPELINES.** Section 7.2 acknowledges that permit(s) have still not been received from Caltrans. Section 8.3.6 refers to the eminent domain lawsuit with Vistra, but does not state the outcome. What was the outcome?

6. **FINANCES.** Thanks to CFAC questions, we know that the project has a "re-baselined schedule", which I assume means it has been reset, so the project doesn't seem behind. Such language reminds me of how the "guaranteed maximum price" was raised and is anticipated to be raised again (Section 1.1.1.2). Salaries for Corollo, the project manager, and the City Attorney have gone up due to change orders and land acquisition, respectively. When do you say "no more"? When do you cut our financial losses and get out of this project? When do you put our ratepayers and businesses first?

Sincerely,  
Betty Winholtz